In like manner, in any other Caſe where z and $\dot{z}$ are two Abſciſſes whoſe Difference as a Meaſure is z; and y, $\dot{y}$ the two Ordinates; the Magnitude of the Figure will be implied by the Magnitudes of the two Polygons which are made from the Sum of the inſcribing and circumſcribing Elements $\dot{z}y$ and $\dot{z}\dot{y}$, although the Figure itſelf is not to be reſolved into any ſuch primogenial rectangular Elements.

And thus, I think, the Symbol $\dot{z}$, conſidered as a component Part of the Rectangle $\dot{z}y$, may bear a plain Interpretation; *viz.* that it is the Meaſure according to which the Quantity z is meaſured; nor can I ſee that any other Interpretation need to be put upon a Symbol, which, like a Meaſure, is uſed only to make other things known, but is of itſelf for nothing but a Mark.

And what is ſaid of the Elements of the firſt Reſolution, is eaſily applied to thoſe of a ſecond or third, and ſo on; the laſt may always be conſidered as the Meaſure of the former and indiviſible, although, in reſpect of the following, it be taken as the Part according to which the Meaſure was made, and therefore diviſible.

The candid Reader is deſired to ſtrike out a Remark of mine, about the Comet *of* 1556, *ſubjoined to an Obſervation of the late* Comet *made at* Lisbon, *printed in Page* 123 *of the laſt* Tranſactions, N° 446. *The Note was there inſerted by ſome Accident, without my Intention; for I had ſoon afterwards informed the* Society, *that the Remark was ill-founded. According to Mr.* Bradley's *Obſervations at* Oxford, *which were not then communicated, the Place at the Time mentioned ought to have been in* Long. ♓. 13°. 21′. ½. Lat. 0°. 29′. South. *So that in all probability there happened ſome Miſtake in making this Obſervation.*

ERRATA. P. 213. L. 31. for $\overline{n.n-2}$ read $n.\overline{n-2}$.
P. 222. L. 26. for *being* read *be*.

Printed for T. WOODWARD, at the *Half-Moon*, between the *Two Temple-Gates* in *Fleetſtreet*; and C. DAVIS, the Corner of *Pater-noſter-row*, next *Warwick-lane*; PRINTERS to the ROYAL SOCIETY. M.DCC.XXXIX.